

# Mississippi Charter School Performance Framework

Mississippi Charter School Authorizer Board
June 1<sup>st</sup>, 2015



# **Objective**

To provide charter school operators and boards with clear expectations, fact-based oversight, and timely feedback while ensuring charter autonomy.

- Clear standards, timely feedback, maximum transparency
- Objective information for schools, students, and families
- Differentiated oversight including incentives for highperforming charter schools
- Comprehensive information to guide charter renewal determinations



### **Authorizer Board Obligations**

#### Mississippi Charter School Authorizer Board Obligations

- Clearly communicate standards and expectations to schools;
- Conduct a transparent, consistent, and predictable oversight process;
- Conduct an oversight process that is respectful of schools' autonomy;
- Focus on student outcomes and not on inputs; and
- Provide fact-based feedback to schools and communities indicating where schools stand relative to performance framework expectations and standards.



# Performance Framework Assessment Components

### Routine Ongoing Submissions

 Create one reporting calendar that includes all submissions due to the Authorizer Board and MDE

#### Performance Frameworks

- Academic: Student proficiency and growth, subgroup performance, and school selected indicators
- Financial: Fund balance, audit findings, debt to asset ratio, and timeliness of all reports
- Organizational: Education program, enrollment, discipline, special education and at-risk populations, school environment, and governance

### Annual Performance Report

Compiles all information from frameworks and data collected throughout the year

# MISSISSIPPI CHARTER SCHOOL AUTHORIZER BOARD

### **Academic Performance Indicators**

	nance Framework – Academic Performance Rating – K – 8  Rating				
Measure	Exceeds Expectations	Meets Expectations	Approaches Expectations	Fails to Meet Expectations	
State     Accountability     Letter Grade –     Proficiency and     Growth	А	B - C	D	F	
2. School-Specific Academic Goals*	School exceeds school-specific annual goals.	School meets school-specific annual goals.	School did not meet school-specific academic goals.	School fell far below school-specific academic goals.	
3. Subgroup Performance – Growth <sup>^</sup>	76 to 100% of subgroup students achieved growth target.	51 to 75% of subgroup students achieved growth target.	26 to 50% of subgroup students achieved growth target.	0 to 25% of subgroup students achieved growth target.	
4. Subgroup Performance – Proficiency <sup>^</sup>	76 to 100% of subgroup students achieved a score of proficient or higher.	51 to 75% of subgroup students achieved a score of proficient or higher.	26 to 50% of subgroup students achieved a score of proficient or higher.	0 to 25% of subgroup students achieved a score of proficient or higher.	



### **Financial Performance Indicators**

II. Financial Performance Framework – Financial Performance Rating				
Rating	Score			
Meets Expectations	80 – 100			
Approaches Expectations	60 – 79			
Fails to Meet Expectations	0 – 59			

+

Financial Performance Score Indicators				
Indicators	Potential Points	Full Credit	Partial Credit	No Credit
Fund Balance	Full Credit – 20 Points Partial Credit – 10 Points No Credit – 0 Points	Y1: >2% Y2: >3% Y3: >4% Y4: >5% Y5: >6% +Y5: >7.5%	Y1: 1-2% Y2: 1.5-3% Y3: 2-4% Y4: 2.5-5% Y5: 3-6% +Y5: 3.75-7.5%	Y1: <1% Y2: <1.5% Y3: <2% Y4: <2.5% Y5: <3% +Y5: <3.75%
Audit Findings	Full Credit – 20 Points Partial Credit – 10 Points No Credit – 0 Points	Unqualified Audit with No Findings	Unqualified Audit with No Recurring or Material Findings	-Unqualified Audit with Recurring or Material Findings; Or -Qualified Audit
Debt to Asset Ratio	Full Credit – 20 Points No Credit – 0 Points	<0.9	N/A	>0.9
Timely Reporting	Full Credit – 20 Points Partial Credit – 15 Points Partial Credit – 10 Points No Credit – 0 Points	-Quarterly reports, MDE Financial Submission Data, and Audit All Submitted Timely	1 Late – 15 Points 2 Late – 10 Points	3 – 5 Late

\_

### MISSISSIPPI CHARTER SCHOOL AUTHORIZER BOARD

### **Organizational Performance Indicators**

III. Organizational Performance Framework – Organizational Performance Rating				
Rating	Score	Components		
Meets Expectations	80 - 100	Key Indicator Points Po		
Approaches Expectations	60 - 79	Educational Program Requirements	12	
Fails to Meet Expectations	0 - 59	Enrollment	19	
All schools start with the full amount of points and assure that they follow these policies and procedures.  A school loses points when more than 1 Notice		Discipline	11	
		Special Education / At-Risk Student Populations	28	
		School Environment	21	
		Governance	9	
		Total	100	
of Concern is issued or a Notice of Breach is issued.  Some indicators are based on data outcomes and schools must achieve specified outcomes in order to earn points.		Critical Indicators: Boxes highlighted below in yellow indicators. Non-compliance in one of these items trig Notice of Breach.		

Organizational Performance Score Indicators  A. Educational Program Requirements			
i, School Meets the Essential Terms Identified in Charter Contract	3		Education program meets contract specifications
ii. School Complies with All Reporting Requirements	3		No more than 1 Notice of Concern per Indicator No Notices of Breach per Indicator
iii. School Meets Attendance Goals	3		Attendance daily attendance meets at least 90% of students enrolled.
iv. Teachers and Administrators Meet All Credentialing Requirements	3		Teacher credentialing data meets legal specifications



# **Annual Process**

Ongoing Oversight

- Differentiated School Reviews
- Routine Document and Data Submissions
- Data Analysis

Performance Frameworks

- Academic Performance Rating
- Financial Performance Rating
- Organizational Performance Rating

Annual Review

- Compilation of Performance Ratings
- Compilation of any Notices of Concern or Breach and Intervention Ladder Findings
- Presented to school boards and leaders



# **Differentiated Oversight**

### **School Tours vs. Site Visits**

School Tours	Site Visits
Policies and Procedures Follow-Up	Policies and Procedures Audit
Informal Classroom Visits	Classroom Visits
Facility Review	Facility Review
School Leader Conversation	School Leader Interview
	Special Education Coordinator Interview
	Board / CMO Interview



# **Intervention Ladder**

#### Level 1: Notice of Concern

A school enters Level 1 upon receiving a Notice of Concern.



#### Level 2: Notice of Breach

A school enters Level 2 when it fails to correct a Notice of Concern or fails to meet a Critical Indicator.



#### Level 3: Revocation Review

A school enters Level 3 when it fails to meet its requirements or schedule of a Notice of Breach.

- All schools begin in Good Standing.
- A school enters the intervention ladder at Level 1 when it receives a Notice of Concern.
- Certain indicators are Critical Indicators and a school automatically receives a Notice of Breach and enters the intervention ladder at Level 2.
- When a school cures a Notice of Concern or Breach, they return to Good Standing.
- Repeated Notices of Concern or Breach may lead to increased oversight.
- When schools don't cure Notices of Concern or Breach, they proceed to Revocation Review.



# **Renewal Requirements**

### **Academic Requirements for Initial Renewal**

Most Recent Academic Performance Framework Indicator 1 Rating	Additional Evidence Needed	Eligibility for Renewal
Exceeds or Meets Expectations (A - C)	No Additional Evidence Needed	Eligible for Renewal
Approaches Expectations (D)	School Must Demonstrate Evidence of Significant Growth Over Charter Term OR Receives an Exceeds or Meets Expectations on 2/3 Additional Academic Indicators	Eligible for Renewal
Fails to Meet Expectations (F)	No Additional Evidence Needed	Not Eligible for Renewal



# Renewal Requirements

#### **Academic Requirements for Subsequent Renewals**

Most Recent Academic Performance Framework Indicator 1 Rating	Eligibility for Renewal
Exceeds or Meets Expectations (A - C)	Eligible for Renewal
Approaches Expectations or Fails to Meet Expectations (D - F)	Not Eligible for Renewal

#### Financial and Organizational Requirements for All Renewals

- Meets or approaches expectations on BOTH the Financial and Organizational Frameworks
- Authorizer Board may grant a waiver based on specific evidence and circumstances.



# **Renewal Terms**

Academic Base Term	Financial & Organizational Additional Years	Potential Term Lengths	
Exceeds Expectations (A) 4 Years	1 Additional Year for Meets Expectations in Financial and Organizational Performance	4 – 5 Years	
Meets Expectations (B) 4 Years	1 Additional Year for Meets Expectations in Financial and Organizational Performance	4 – 5 Years	
Meets Expectations (C) 3 Years	1 Additional Year for Meets Expectations in Financial and Organizational Performance	3 – 4 Years	
Approaches Expectations (D) 3 Years	No Additional Years Added	3 Years	
Fails to Meet Expectations (F)	Not Eligible For Renewal		



# **Objective**

To provide charter school operators and boards with clear expectations, fact-based oversight, and timely feedback while ensuring charter autonomy.

- Clear standards, timely feedback, maximum transparency
- Objective information for schools, students, and families
- Differentiated oversight including incentives for highperforming charter schools
- Comprehensive information to guide charter renewal determinations